



Water Supply District of Acton

693 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE
P.O. BOX 953
ACTON, MASSACHUSETTS 01720

TELEPHONE (978) 263-9107

FAX (978) 264-0148

Board of Water Commissioners

Meeting Agenda

Monday, May 18, 2020 @ 4:00 PM

This is a video conference being held using Zoom

The public can participate in this meeting by

Join Zoom Meeting via computer

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/81045074346>

OR

Via mobile phone

+13017158592,,81045074346# US (Germantown)

+13126266799,,81045074346# US (Chicago)

Meeting ID: 810 4507 4346

- **Comments from Citizens**
- **Approve minutes from meetings on 4/27 & 5/1**

OLD BUSINESS:

- Appoint one Commissioner to approve warrants while conducting meetings virtually
- Discussion of the upcoming Special District Meeting
 - Scheduled for Wednesday, May 20, 2020 @ 6:30 PM at the District's main office
- Update on proposed Solar energy projects
- District Manager's contract
 - Current contract expires on June 30, 2020

Executive Session-- To consider the purchase, exchange, lease of real property as an open meeting may have a detrimental effect on the negotiating position of the District



Water Supply District of Acton

693 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE
P.O. BOX 953
ACTON, MASSACHUSETTS 01720

TELEPHONE (978) 263-9107

FAX (978) 264-0148

May 14, 2020

Senator Rebecca Rousch
Representative James O'Day
Chairs
Joint Committee on Municipalities and Regional Government

Dear Committee Chairs Rousch and O'Day,

The Acton Water District (the "District") is a Massachusetts certified Public Water Supply servicing 95% of the residents of the Town of Acton. Since 2017, the District has been working with EDF Renewables Distributed Solutions, Inc. ("EDF") on development of two ground-mounted solar + energy storage projects in Acton, MA. The projects (referred to as Lawsbrook and Knox Trail) are located on land owned by the District and are being pursued following a competitive RFP process the District conducted for Solar + Energy Storage projects in Fall of 2017. The District properties hosting each project are part of larger superfund sites in Acton, known as the W.R. Grace and Nuclear Metals, Inc. sites. These properties are also designated as brownfields by the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) and have been further previously disturbed due to prior use for sand and gravel extraction. The projects are planned to participate in the Solar Massachusetts Renewable Target (SMART) solar incentive program, which features a distinct focus on guiding solar + energy storage projects toward properties that were previously disturbed or considered brownfields - making them highly compatible with the renewable energy goals of the Commonwealth.

The District will benefit from these projects in both revenues generated from a land lease with the Lawsbrook project and discounted energy + lease revenues on the "Behind the meter" Knox project. The Knox project will be located at our South Acton Water Treatment Plant, which provides 45% of our potable water supply for drinking water and fire protection throughout Acton. In addition to providing the District greater financial resilience, the projects will significantly lower our carbon footprint and make a large contribution toward the effort of combatting global climate change. The Town of Acton will benefit from the project through Payment In-Lieu of Tax Agreements to be entered into with each project, along with local business stimulus during project construction. For these reasons, both projects have been designated as a Municipal Use due to benefits the projects represent to the Town and citizens of Acton. To date, the projects have also been received warmly by neighbors and others in the community.

In advancing the projects through their development phase, it was brought to EDF's attention that the projects may be subject to protections as Article 97 land, a position which was further confirmed to be likely by the Massachusetts EEA. While there appear to be approximately 20 MW of existing solar projects on Water District lands throughout the Commonwealth (14 separate projects), only one of those have obtained Article 97 approval during development. Out of an abundance of caution and to ensure these high-caliber projects check every possible box in development, EDF has elected to pursue Article 97 approval for Lawsbrook and Knox Trail rather than follow the path chosen by the majority of Water District projects in the Commonwealth.

In the course of the last year, with EDF's assistance, and the review and assistance of the MA Executive Office of Environmental Affairs (MA EEA), we've been navigating the Article 97 impacts to fulfill the requirements of "No net loss" of water resource lands here in Acton. The Special Legislation developed in collaboration with these parties and now proposed provides the best-case scenario for the District, its ratepayers, and the citizens of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Our organization, via our Board of Water Commissioners, has unanimously voted to approve its submittal to the state legislature. The bill has the District's full support and we truly appreciate your consideration of it. Please let me know if there are any questions that you may have, or any clarification you may require.

Respectfully,

Chris Allen
District Manager

Board of Water Commissioners
Meeting Minutes
Acton Water District
693 Massachusetts Avenue, Acton MA
Friday, May 1, 2020

AGENDA

OLD BUSINESS:

1. Discussion of the necessity of input from the District on the Town of Acton's Housing Production Plan (HPP)
2. Postponement of Annual & Special District meetings scheduled for 5/13
 - Due to the Governor's extension of the Stay-at-home order through May 18th

Present at Today's Meeting:

Commissioners: Stephen Stuntz (Chair), Erika Amir-Lin, Barry Rosen
District Manager: Chris Allen
District Treasurer: Mary Jo Bates
District Counsel: Mary Bassett
Environmental Manager: Matthew Mostoller
Finance Committee: Dave Butler
Commissioners Secretary: Lynn Protasowicki

Citizens:

Kim Kastens
David Martin
Alissa Nicol

Due to the COVID-19 stay-at-home order by Governor Charles Baker, the Board of Water Commissioners meeting was not held at the Acton Water District office instead the meeting was held via a video conference using Zoom. The meeting was called to order at 2:15PM on Friday, May 1, 2020 by Mr. Stephen Stuntz.

OLD BUSINESS:

1. Discussion of the necessity of input from the District on the Town of Acton's Housing Production Plan (HPP)

Mr. Stuntz stated that Mr. Rosen has written a draft statement that he would like to send to the Board of Selectmen commenting on the Town of Acton's Housing Production Plan (HPP) and he wanted to know if the District should comment. Mr. Stuntz stated that the District should

comment only when there is a policy set that impacts the Acton Water District (AWD). The purpose of the HPP is it “identifies the housing needs of the community and it’s goals and strategies it will use to identify and achieve the 40B 10% threshold”. There are things in this plan that the District can think about. If we were to comment could support, correct errors, or not comment. He feels no comments are appropriate to add when there is no purpose to the AWD.

Mr. Stuntz added that as a Commissioner of the AWD, when any of us speak it will be taken as said by the Commissioners and not by a private citizen. Our comments will be taken out of contexts; on issues discussed it will be necessary to say how the other Commissioners have voted; on issues not discussed it will be necessary to say that the Commissioners have not discussed.

Ms. Amir-Lin stated that she agrees with comments as to how we speak we are perceived as one body and need to keep that in mind. She disagrees with first part that there are things in the plan that do affect us because this is the predecessor to what is going to become policy so to wait for it to become an enacted policy is too late because we may deprived an opportunity to be involved. She doesn’t think we need to make a full long memo but if we could agree on a short commentary that would be good. She believes that Mr. Rosen’s comments are very thorough. She stated that Mr. Mostoller pointed out some errors in the plan — the amount of town that is served by the Acton Water District and the reasons and methods that water bans are enacted. She believes that we must correct those factual errors because there are identified errors and we just can’t say nothing.

Ms. Amir-Lin asked Mr. Allen if a property is being rehabbed or reused are we able to assess a mitigation fee. Mr. Allen stated that yes, we can base upon prior and projected use.

Ms. Kastens had a process question – the document that Mr. Rosen wrote and that they are discussing is not available online. Mr. Allen stated it was being edited and was not made available ahead of time. She would like to have a copy while the discussion is taking place. It was emailed to all those on the Zoom meeting.

Mr. Allen did notice the 95 to 98% discrepancy. He also noticed that they did not mention Concord’s service area. That is a huge oversight.

Mr. Rosen mentioned that he sent an email last night to the Commissioners, but it ended up in his SPAM folder, so it did not go out as he thought. The HPP talks about changing zoning and he is thinking it’s a red flag. They discuss developing the Brewster Conant land and as Mr. Rosen points out it’s a Zone II and sensitive to the District and tried to work this into the document. He mentioned that Jon Benson (per their conversation) expects the ACHC and consultants are going to go through all the comments on the document and they’ll be another iteration. Jon Benson’s expectation was that after this next meeting there will be a going through each comment made by ACHC and consultant). Per Mr. Rosen, Mr. Benson was hoping to work in partnership with the District to construct a better document. Mr. Rosen stated that he believes it was an omission/mistake that the District was not included in this. If the District gives specific comments, it will help the ACHC to clarify the HPP.

Ms. Amir-Lin stated that if our general mission is being misrepresented then it requires commentary. This is our opportunity to be involved and to affirm our support for Acton having affordable housing but here is how we see the best way to serve you water. Mr. Mostoller stated that this is an important point — we looked at it as we aren't telling them not what to do but to think about these real issues. Ms. Amir-Lin stated that we aren't telling them how to make policy, but we are giving you help to make it more effective. Mr. Rosen agreed with Ms. Amir-Lin's statement.

Mr. Allen inquired as to whether the expectation is to have ACHC take the District's memo/comments and incorporate them into the HPP. Mr. Stuntz stated that the expectation is that this will be a guideline for the Selectmen to ask more questions to the ACHC. Ms. Amir-Lin stated that we are submitting comments in an advisory committee; this is a comment document to get their document better. Mr. Rosen agrees with Ms. Amir-Lin. He asked that if the Board wants to move forward on this, can we have an action item that he and Mr. Mostoller work on this over the weekend; the District has until May 4th to get these comments to them. He would rather not rewrite the letter on his own. Mr. Stuntz would like Ms. Amir-Lin to write it as she will add more balance to the document. She will work on it and get Mr. Mostoller's comments on the amended draft but would want Board approval before we send out to Selectmen.

Counselor Bassett asked if you have determined what the substance is then it can all go through Mr. Allen.

Mr. Stuntz suggested that if the memo states that in the spirit of partnership here are our comments to help make your HPP have more clarification with respect to water.

Mr. Rosen agrees that most organizations don't like comments; the Board of Selectmen would not have extended the opportunity to make comments if they didn't want them. If they extended the deadline for comments to May 4th then apparently there are some things that the Selectboard feels may still merit comment.

David Martin stated that this is the right time to comment on the document that affects the Water District. It is up to the BOS and Planning Board to filter/understand/merge-in the comments. He wants to hear the comments versus not hear them.

Ms. Amir-Lin inquired as to whether there is anything in Mr. Rosen's memo that he doesn't want in. Mr. Stuntz stated no. Ms. Amir-Lin asked Mr. Rosen if he is comfortable with a streamlined version of what he had proposed. He stated that he is fine with that.

Mr. Rosen motioned that the Board of Commissioners ask Ms. Erika Amir-Lin take the approved substance of the last memo dated April 30, 2020 and write a letter to the Acton Planning Board and Board of Selectmen on behalf of Commissioners to comment on the ACHC Housing Production Plan. Mr. Stuntz seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved by a roll call vote

Citizen Alissa Nicol – she noted that the housing production plan is a 5 year plan not a 2 year plan.

Counselor Bassett stated that the final draft should be sent to the District.

2. Postponement of Annual & Special District meetings scheduled for 5/13

➤ Due to the Governor's extension of the Stay-at-home order through May 18th

Mr. Allen provided an update regarding the postponement of the Annual and Special District meetings which are scheduled for May 13th. Due to the Governor's stay-at-home order now extended through May 18th, these meetings will need to be postponed. Will be required to postpone those 30 days per statute. Should we move the meetings to June 13th or another date?

He mentioned that the best case is to do both meetings the same day. Unfortunately, the Town of Acton Municipal Properties (MP) is not scheduling venues right now. He has spoken to Kim Gorman of MP and he can't get a venue for the District meeting.

Mr. Stuntz inquired as to where we are with Waterline. Mr. Allen stated that they plan to stay with us; they are very excited about the project. He has not communicated with Waterline since the new stay-at-home order. After bidding, Waterline submitted a letter holding their bid price through May 15th. That is now in jeopardy.

Mr. Stuntz suggested that we figure out if we can hold the District meeting in the District garage. Mr. Allen stated that we are still limited on gatherings of greater than 10 people, per the Governor's order.

Counselor Bassett inquired about if Wednesday May 20th is a date that we can do? Mr. Allen stated that we just need to hear from Governor Baker on May 18th about the stay-at-home order.

Ms. Amir-Lin suggested June 8th. Mr. Allen will attempt to get public safety facility again for this date for the Annual Meeting.

The plan will be to hold the Special District Meeting on May 20th at the District's office and the Annual Meeting on June 8th at the Public Safety Facility.

New Business:

Mr. Allen updated the Commissioners on 960 Main Street on an abutting property to our North Acton treatment plant. We have been contacted by a broker that is representing the owner of one of the abutting properties. We are in discussion with the broker and attorney that is filing objections to Dirt Doctor's material processing operation there. The District did notify the Massachusetts MA Department of Environmental Protection (MADEP) last year and they conducted an inspection and put some requirements on that company. The Town of Acton reacted as well, but classified it as an existing use, and thus grandfathered. The broker and owner are objecting to the filing. In association with this group, the District is providing information to support the appeal of that classification by Town of Acton. The District, along with the landowner, believe that classification is incorrect. The application for appeal is due by Monday, May 4th and, thereafter, the Town of Acton has 65 days to schedule the hearing.

Mr. Rosen moved to adjourn the open meeting at 3:06 PM. Mr. Stuntz seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved by a roll call vote.

DRAFT

Board of Water Commissioners
Meeting Minutes
Acton Water District
693 Massachusetts Avenue, Acton MA
Monday, April 27, 2020

AGENDA

A. Comments from Citizens

B. Approve Minutes of Meeting on April 2, 2020

C. OLD BUSINESS:

1. Appoint One Commissioner to Approve Warrants While Conducting Meetings Virtually
2. Update on System-Wide Source Sampling for Per- & Poly-Fluoroalkyl (PFAS) Substances

D. NEW BUSINESS:

1. COVID-19 Impact on Payment of Water Bills and the District's Role in Economic Restoration
2. Discussion of Inception of Annual Calendar-Triggered Outdoor Water Use Program

Present at Today's Meeting:

Commissioners: Stephen Stuntz (Chair), Erika Amir-Lin, Barry Rosen

District Manager: Chris Allen

District Treasurer: Mary Jo Bates

District Counsel: Mary Bassett

Environmental Manager: Matthew Mostoller

Finance Committee: Dave Butler, Bill Guthlein and Chuck Bradley

Commissioners Secretary: Lynn Protasowicki

Citizens:

Kim Kastens

Ron Parenti

David Martin

Richard Kelleher

Terra Friedrichs

Due to the COVID-19 stay-at-home order by Governor Charles Baker, the Board of Water Commissioners meeting was not held at the Acton Water District office instead the meeting was held via a video conference using Zoom. The meeting was called to order at 2:15PM on Monday, April 27, 2020 by Mr. Stephen Stuntz.

A. Comments from Citizens:

No comments from citizens

B. Approve Minutes of Meetings of April 2, 2020:

Mr. Rosen motioned to approve the minutes of April 2, 2020. Ms. Amir-Lin seconded, and it was unanimously approved by a roll call vote.

C. OLD BUSINESS:

1. *Appoint One Commissioner to Approve Warrants While Conducting Meetings Virtually*

Mr. Stuntz motioned to appoint Ms. Amir-Lin to approve warrants while conducting meetings virtually. Mr. Rosen seconded, and it was unanimously approved by a roll call vote.

Ms. Amir-Lin - yes

Mr. Rosen - yes

Mr. Stuntz - yes

2. Update on System-Wide Source Sampling for Per- & Poly-Fluoroalkyl (PFAS) Substances

Mr. Mostoller provided an update on the system-wide source sampling for Per- & Poly-Fluoroalkyl (PFAS) Substances. He noted that at the last meeting the District was awaiting on sample results for a large chunk of the system. The initial round for the entire system has now been completed.

The Clapp Whitcomb facility had raw water detections however the carbon that is there presently appears to adequately treating it down to non-detect. The Conant Wells all had detections below the proposed standards and blending of those sources in the new treatment facility should help to further control those concentrations, especially if the bedrock wells are developed and approved.

In the North Acton Treatment facility, it appears to have elevated concentrations but until we have completed the confirmatory sampling, he is going to withhold judgement on those numbers.

This morning we collected confirmatory samples for the Clapp Whitcomb and Conant I sources. The plan for tomorrow is to collect the confirmatory samples for the North Acton and Conant II sources.

He has begun drafting public notice premised on being above the proposed drinking water guideline of 20 parts per trillion (ppt) but the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) has not given a firm order on when notice should go out. He anticipates sending a draft around in foreseeable future.

Mr. Rosen asked Mr. Mostoller for clarification on the notification level. Mr. Mostoller stated that under the proposed regulations one form of notice occurs if above 10 ppt and another form of notice occurs if above 20 ppt. MassDEP is proposing a 20 ppt standard however right now it is a guideline and they expect some form of action whether that is consumer notice or ongoing monitoring if at 10 ppt. At 20 ppt MassDEP will want efforts to reliably test below the standard and more firm language for the subset of the population that are infants, woman of a child bearing age, nursing mothers, and pregnant woman.

Ms. Kastens asked Mr. Mostoller if they send out a blanket notice to all in town or something that is more targeted to gynecologists, midwives, etc. Mr. Mostoller stated that it would be a broad notification. There have been conversations in the industry that maybe MassDEP or state Department of Public Health would notify people that work with these sensitive sub populations.

Mr. Stuntz asked when we would receive confirmation. Mr. Mostoller stated that he anticipates samples will get picked up by Wednesday or Thursday of this week. Because of COVID-19 the lab demand has gone down so they have been turning these samples around much faster. At this point Mr. Allen and he have been exploring some options for reconfiguring our operations and work is ongoing to better understand what options we have. Begun some discussions about potential treatment that may exist.

Ms. Kastens inquired as to whether the District can make it through a dry summer by having Assabet 1A offline. Mr. Allen stated that it will be difficult to meet the demand with that source or others offline.

Old Business:

Mr. Allen informed the Commissioners that during this time, current strategy of staffing is working well; the District along with two other utilities made a shared purchase of 1,000 non-N-95 masks for staff to use. He recently answered a survey through MassDEP that emergency management agencies will provide Personal Protective Equipment to water and waste water operators in Massachusetts so he took part in survey so as to be a part of that program.

D. NEW BUSINESS:

1. COVID-19 Impact on Payment of Water Bills and the District's Role in Economic Restoration

Mr. Allen stated that the Treasurer sent a memo this morning to the Commissioners related to the collection of water bills and a motion to waive interest. May 6th is due date for payments but would like to extend to June 30th.

Mr. Rosen sent Ms. Bates questions and got the answers. Trying to understand if certain accounts are carrying interest balances month to month. He would like to give relief to those financially impacted and not those who are always late with payments. He would like to find a way to provide relief to those who are financially impacted and how we can that. Ms. Amir-Lin is not sure if that is a good use of our time. This is a short-term measure that is being proposed. We aren't in the business of figuring out who is tardy in paying their bills. As a District we don't have expertise in knowing who acting on good faith and who isn't. She is fine with going ahead with this proposal that Ms. Bates had suggested.

Mr. Rosen inquired as to whether we are proposing to offer this relief as a onetime opportunity for bills that are due May 6th or extend that beyond for those that are having a financial hardship. Mr. Stuntz stated that we are just looking at extending the due date from May 6th to June 30th. There would be no interest payment since we are moving the due date.

Ms. Bates stated that those that still have a prior outstanding balance will still pay interest on the prior balance of on the current bill.

Ms. Amir-Lin motioned that we approve as proposed by the District Treasurer the following: to move that, with reference to water bills currently due on May 6, 2020, pursuant to section xiv of the District bylaws empowering the Commissioners to fix a rate of interest on water bills remaining unpaid after the due dates, in consideration of the Commonwealth's declared state of emergency, 0% interest shall be due on any late payment of said bill, if the bill is paid in full before June 30, 2020 but any payment on or after June 30, 2020 shall carry interest at the rate imposed by Ch. 59, sec. 57. Mr. Rosen seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved by a roll call vote.

Mr. Stuntz - yes

Ms. Amir-Lin - yes

Mr. Rosen - yes

2. Discussion of Inception of Annual Calendar-Triggered Outdoor Water Use Program

-Program goes into effect on Friday, May 1, 2020

Mr. Allen stated that the District has a strictly regulated program that goes into effect Friday, May 1, 2020 through October 31, 2020. Mr. Allen and Mr. Mostoller have been discussing something of an elevation of the program because a potential inability to meet demand in summer months due to capacity restrictions. He does not have a proposal to present at this time. If we do need to take sources offline due to PFAS impacts, then meeting that demand may become more challenging. Mr. Mostoller added to what Mr. Allen stated. Program allows watering 3 days a week. That could go to a lower number of days. In the past we when have escalated our programs we did an all-out ban in 2016 during the drought, in 2014 one day a week lawn watering; and in 2010 allowed only hand watering of flowering and food gardens. On

another note other towns have enacted outdoor water restrictions because it is unclear of what the ultimate impact will be on demand. Ayer, Littleton and Hudson during their initial phases of addressing PFAS put watering restrictions in place to better manage their water systems as they work on longer term solutions.

Mr. Stuntz stated that we don't need to decide anything now. He mentioned that Mr. Mostoller will have several proposals based on the size of the problem. The water users would expect us to adopt a policy to the need at the time. Mr. Mostoller stated that his concern in the timing of it - if we get results at the beginning of May we'd be ramping up our messaging that the seasonal program is going into place but then it could change a few days later. Ms. Amir-Lin stated that early messaging is good as people are starting to think about growing more food (ie vegetable gardens); letting them know earlier; and if there will be a garden exemption.

Mr. Rosen mentioned that MassDEP proposed we reduce to two watering days per week. Do we have to operate under that? Mr. Mostoller stated that not at this time. We are still operating under our old permit. Mr. Rosen asked if this could change once we finish discussing our pumping that will allow us to get back to our old levels. Mr. Mostoller stated that we will not likely be looking at a change in our permit this growing season. With COVID-19, the water management act is low on the priority list here at the District and with MassDEP.

Mr. Stuntz stated that the message should be that things could change and it should be a broader message.

Ms. Kastens asked if the District is selling more or less water than in previous Marchs and Aprils due to COVID-19 because people are home all day and using more water or because restaurants are closed. Ms. Bates stated that when we read meters recently, we saw an 8% decrease from the same period in water use which will impact our July billing.

Terra Friedrichs from West Acton objects to any water restrictions preventing her from growing food and yet a developer can walk into the District and get a new water hook-up anytime they want. If those new water hook-ups are for low income, who work in town and need housing, but these aren't the developments that are happening. She does not see a single-family home is not a public necessity or right. If the District is going to limit our use, it's time to stop new water hook-ups.

Mr. Stuntz replied that the District has discussed the issue over the years of new developments versus continuing use and we are limited in terms of what we can and can't provide people who want to hook-up. She hopes we can study that and determine what limits we can make relative to public necessity versus profit.

She also had a comment on the PFAS notice. She is looking forward to receiving the information on it. She objects to targeted use of notification. The notice should go to everybody and it should have a large warning so that people will open the notice. Mr. Stuntz stated that this is what Mr. Mostoller ended up proposing - that we will not target any specific groups; it will go to every District water taker. It is up to an individual to decide if they relate to the language that the state requires us to use.

Ms. Friedrichs inquired about the draft housing production plan that has been released for comment and in that document, there are projections that appear quite different from what the District uses. The housing folks tend to think in terms of population growth and the number of permits they will receive. She wanted to know if the District has been involved or been contacted by the Housing Authority yet. Mr. Allen stated that input from the District had not been requested. Mr. Mostoller has started to look at it because Ms. Kastens highlighted it. She stated that a couple of other committees objected to the draft that was released without involving them. Because of citizen concerns they have been contacted already.

Mr. Rosen mentioned that he has read the document from cover to cover and they left out significant things. There are a number of areas in which the District should have been involved. He would like to respond to the PB and BoS either as a Commissioner or as a resident to ask them to reexamine their assumptions that have been made without involving either the WRAC or the Acton Water District. If they don't respond to us I am not sure what the District should do.

Mr. Stuntz stated that he also read it. He had trouble following their connection between population and water use. It became unclear at what they were trying to do. Their goal is satisfying state requirement goal for 40B certification. They were curious about land use and not water use. They didn't have any interest in what the impact is on water use and the District. Maybe they did not contact us because they do not think it's an important piece of the puzzle for their needs which is to satisfy the state requirement for 40B certification.

Mr. Stuntz suggested that if Mr. Rosen wants to put something together to circulate that everyone can take a look at it. Ms. Amir-Lin also thinks that the District is consistently not involved in things; what can we do to get more involved so that we are not always in a reactive mode?

Mr. Mostoller briefly looked at the document. It's not just about water use but also includes land use. It's about the competing interest of land use.

Mr. Rosen believes that the proposal was done in a vacuum.

Ms. Friedrichs had a question for Mr. Rosen - on page 57 there is a map of potential development parcels and one in West Acton appears to be in Zone 2. Mr. Rosen did see that.

Mr. Rosen stated that consideration of water supply and land use should be concern for us. Mr. Stuntz stated that we do, and we see that in the development plans.

Richard Kelleher stated that he would encourage the District in what Barry is doing. It's very important to address all his concerns. Maybe it should go to the Board of Selectmen since they require committees to work across all issues. Mr. Rosen said in a 40B you can see the development plans but it's not us that can say we don't like it, it's the Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) not the Selectmen. Any objections it's the ZBA. He said here is what we'd like the board of Selectmen to approve and we'd like to propose this to the state.

Mr. Stuntz moved to adjourn the open meeting at 3:06 PM. Ms. Amir-Lin seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved by a roll call vote.